

Child Sexual Abuse Material

Child Sexual Abuse Material (CSAM) are images or videos that show a child engaged in or depicted as being engaged in explicit sexual activity. CSAM has previously been referred to as child pornography. Child pornography implies consent, and a child cannot legally give consent.



Victims of Child Sexual Abuse Material

- Prepubescent children are at the greatest risk of being depicted in CSAM. 1, 3, 9, 19, 21
- Females appear in the overwhelming majority of CSAM.^{3, 8, 32, 33}



Crossover to contact sexual offending

- Consumers often commit child contact sexual offending. 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 23, 44, 45
- Producers of CSAM and administrators of CSAM networks often commit child contact sexual offending. 6, 28, 29, 30, 31



Consumers of Child Sexual Abuse Material

- 99% are male 9, 18, 24
- Likely to be older, white non-Hispanic 14, 15, 16, 18, 24
- Often demonstrate social interaction deficits ^{22, 24, 48}
- Likely to have a higher number of paraphilic disorders than other sexual offenders ^{22, 24, 45}



The majority of Child Sexual Abuse Material cases involve the internet. 27, 34, 45

- Anonymous peer-to-peer file sharing networks 12, 26, 31, 35, 36
- Virtual reality, the darknet, live streaming 38, 40, 41, 42
- Messaging apps and social media platforms ^{39, 40, 42}



Abusers/Producers/Distributors of Child Sexual Abuse Material

- Majority are a parent, guardian, neighbor, or friend ^{2, 5, 6, 25, 32, 54}
- Most likely to be males in mid-adulthood 18,37
- Often socially isolated ^{28, 48, 52}



Child Sexual Abuse Material is not a victimless crime.

The impact upon the child and their family is ongoing because the CSAM distribution is continual and the images may be perpetually accessible to new CSAM consumers. 1, 4, 6,7, 20, 54



Gateway to manipulation

 Production of CSAM is often part of the manipulation (grooming) to prepare for contact sexual offenses against children. 45, 47, 50, 51, 52, 53



Child Sexual Abuse Material that is virtual can be just as harmful to children as it is when created with actual children.

- Advances in technologies have created a condition in which people often have considerable difficulty differentiating a computer-generated image of a character from a photograph. 43, 47 49
- Virtual CSAM is often used for manipulation (grooming) purposes. 46, 47, 51

References



- Canadian Centre for Child Protection. (2016). Child sexual abuse images on the internet. A cybertip. ca analysis. https://protectchildren.ca/en/resourcesresearch/child-sexual-abuse-images-report/
- National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. (n.d.). Who are the victims?. https://www.missingkids.org/theissues/csam
- Seto, M. C., Buckman, C., Dwyer, R. G., & Quayle, E. (2018).
 Production and active trading of child sexual exploitation images depicting identified victims. National Center for Missing & Exploited Children. https://www.missingkids.org/content/dam/missingkids/pdfs/ncmec-analysis/Production%20and%20Active%20Trading%20of%20CSAM_FullReport_FINAL.pdf
- 4. National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. (2019). Captured on film: Survivors of child sex abuse material are stuck in a unique cycle of trauma. https://da3c9045adefb4cfdebb-852d24ledlc54e70582a59534f297e9f.ssl.cf2.rackcdn.com/ ncalliance_4d95a7a8c934b8e8ca389c30eb4a7367.pdf
- Salter, M., Wong, W. T., Breckenridge, J., Scott, S., Cooper, S., & Peleg, N. (2021). Production and distribution of child sexual abuse material by parental figures. Trends and Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice, 616, 1-17.
- Gewirtz-Meydan, A., Walsh, W., Wolak, J., & Finkelhor, D. (2018).
 The complex experience of child pornography survivors. Child Abuse & Neglect, 80, 238-248. DOI:10.1016/j.chiabu.2018.03.031
- Martin, J. (2015). Conceptualizing the harms done to children made the subjects of sexual abuse images online. *Child & Youth Services*, 36(4), 267-287. DOI:10.1080/0145935X.2015.1092832
- Quayle, E., & Jones, T. (2011). Sexualized images of children on the internet. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research & Treatment, 23(1), 7-21. DOI:10.1177/1079063210392596
- Mitchell, K. J., Wolak, J., & Finkelhor, D. (2008). Internet sex crimes against minors. Family & Intimate Partner Violence Quarterly, 1(1), 25-44.
- Houtepen, J. A. B. M., Sijtsema, J. J., & Bogaerts, S. (2014).
 From child pornography offending to child sexual abuse:
 A review of child pornography offender characteristics and risks for cross-over. Aggression and Violent Behavior, 19(5), 466-473. DOI:10.1016/j.avb.2014.07.011
- Long, M. L., Alison, L. A., & McManus, M. A. (2013). Child pornography and likelihood of contact abuse: A comparison between contact child sexual offenders and noncontact offenders. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 25(4), 370-395. DOI:10.1177/1079063212464398
- Bissias, G., Levine, B., Liberatore, M., Lynn, B., Moore, J., Wallach, H., & Wolak, J. (2016). Characterization of contact offenders and child exploitation material trafficking on five peer-to-peer networks. Child Abuse & Neglect, 52, 185-199. DOI:10.1016/j.chiabu.2015.10.022
- Seto, M. C., Hanson, R. K., & Babchishin, K. M. (2011).
 Contact sexual offending by men with online sexual offenses. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 23(1), 124-145. DOI:10.1177/1079063210369013
- 14. Babchishin, K. M., Hanson, R. K., & Hermann, C. A. (2011). The characteristics of online sex offenders: A metaanalysis. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 23(1), 92-123. DOI:10.1177/1079063210370708
- Gottfried, E. D., Shier, E. K., & Mulay, A. L. (2020). Child pornography and online sexual solicitation. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 22(3), 10. DOI:10.1007/s11920-020-1132-y

- Webb, L., Craissati, J., & Keen, S. (2007). Characteristics of Internet child pornography offenders: A comparison with child molesters. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 19(4), 449-465. DOI:10.1177/107906320701900408
- Bourke, M. L., & Hernandez, A. E. (2009). The "Butner Study" redux: A report of the incidence of handson child victimization by child pornography offenders. *Journal of Family Violence*, 24, 183-191. DOI:10.1007/s10896-008-9219-y
- Clevenger, S. L., Navarro, J. N., & Jasinski, J. L. (2016). A matter of low self-control? Exploring differences between child pornography possessors and child pornography producers/distributers using self-control theory. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 28(6), 555-571. DOI:10.1177/1079063214557173
- 19. Eke, A. W., & Seto, M. C. (2022). Correspondence of child age and gender distribution in child sexual exploitation material and other child content with age and gender of child sexual assault victims. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 35(3), 375-397. DOI:10.1177/10790632221108951
- Rogers, A. (2007). Child pornography's forgotten victims. Pace Law Review, 28, 847.
- Wolak, J., Finkelhor, D., & Mitchell, K. J. (2005). Child-pornography
 possessors arrested in internet related crimes: Findings from
 the National Juvenile Online Victimization study. Crimes Against
 Children Research Center. https://scholars.unh.edu/ccrc/33/
- 22. Babchishin, K. M., Hanson, R. K., & VanZuylen, H. (2015). Online child pornography offenders are different: A meta-analysis of the characteristics of online and offline sex offenders against children. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 44(1), 45–66. DOI:10.1007/s10508-014-0270-x
- 23. Smith, T. R. (2020). Federal probation clients convicted of possessing child pornography. A follow-up analysis [Paper presentation]. United States Probation and Pretrial Services Chief's Research Group.
- 24. Elbert, M. J., Drury, A. J., & DeLisi, M. (2022). Child pornography possession/receipt offenders: Developing a forensic profile. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*, 29(1), 93-106. DOI:10.1080/13218719.2021.1904447
- 25. Cale, J., Holt, T., Leclerc, B., Singh, S., & Drew, J. (2021). Crime commission processes in child sexual abuse material production and distribution: A systematic review. Trends and Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice, 617, 1-22.
- **26.** Wortley, R., & Smallbone, S. (2012). *Internet child pornography.* Causes, investigation, and prevention. Praeger/ABC-CLIO.
- United States Department of Justice. (2010). The national strategy for child exploitation prevention and interdiction: A report to Congress. https://www.justice.gov/psc/docs/natstrategyreport.pdf
- 28. Sheehan, V., & Sullivan, J. (2010). A qualitative analysis of child sex offenders involved in the manufacture of indecent images of children. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 16(2), 143-167. DOI:10.1080/13552601003698644
- 29. McManus, M. A., Long, M. L., Alison, L., & Almond, L. (2015). Factors associated with contact child sexual abuse in a sample of indecent image offenders. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 21(3), 368-384. DOI:10.1080/13552600.2014.927009
- Krone, T., & Smith, R. G. (2017). Trajectories in online child sexual exploitation offending in Australia. Trends and Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice, 524, 1-13.



- Krone, T., Smith, R. G., Cartwright, J., Hutchings, A., Tomison, A., & Napier, S. (2017). Online child sexual exploitation offenders: A study of Australian law enforcement data. Criminology Research Grant Program. https://www.aic.gov. au/sites/default/files/2020-05/58-1213-FinalReport.pdf
- 32. Wolak, J., Finkelhor, D., Mitchell, K. J., & Jones, L. M. (2011). Arrests for child pornography production: Data at two time points from a national sample of U.S. law enforcement agencies. *Child Maltreatment, 16*(3), 184-195. DOI:10.1177/1077559511415837
- De Santisteban, P., & Gámez-Guadix, M. (2018). Prevalence and risk factors among minors for online sexual solicitations and interactions with adults. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 55(7), 939-950. DOI:10.1080/00224499.2017.1386763
- Westlake, B. G., & Bouchard, M. (2016). Liking and hyperlinking: Community detection in online child sexual exploitation networks. Social Science Research, 59, 23-36. DOI:10.1016/j.ssresearch.2016.04.010
- **35.** Wolak, J., Finkelhor, D., & Mitchell, K. (2011). Child pornography possessors: Trends in offender and case characteristics. Sexual Abuse: *A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 23(1), 22-42. DOI:10.1177/1079063210372143
- 36. Bouhours, B., & Broadhurst, R. (2011). On-line child sex offenders: Report on a sample of peer-to-peer offenders arrested between July 2010-June 2011. Australian National University. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2174815
- Lussier, P., & Cale, J. (2013). Beyond sexual recidivism: A review of the sexual criminal career parameters of adult sex offenders. Aggression and Violent Behavior, 18(5), 445-457. DOI:10.1016/j.avb.2013.06.005
- 38. Maxim, D., Orlando, S., Skinner, K., & Broadhurst, R. (2016). Online child exploitation material–Trends and emerging issues: Research report of the Australian National University Cybercrime Observatory with the input of the Office of the Children's eSafety Commissioner. The Australian National University. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2861644
- 39. Teunissen, C., & Napier, S. (2022). Child sexual abuse material and end-to-end encryption on social media platforms: An overview. Trends and Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice, 653, 1-19.
- 40. Leclerc, B., Cale, J., Holt, T., & Drew, J. (2022). Child sexual abuse material online: The perspective of online investigators on training and support. *Policing: A Journal of Policy and Practice*, 16(4), 762–776. DOI:10.1093/police/paac017
- Leclerc, B., Drew, J., Holt, T., & Cale, J. (2021). Child sexual abuse material on the Darknet: A script analysis. *Trends* and Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice, 627, 1-14.
- **42.** Napier, S., Smith, R. G., & Brown, R. (2020). Australians who view live streaming of child sexual abuse: An analysis of financial transactions. *Trends and Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice*, 589, 1-16.

- **43.** Holmes, O., Banks, M. S., & Farid, H. (2016). Assessing and improving the identification of computergenerated portraits. *ACM Transactions on Applied Perception (TAP)*, 13(2), 1-12. DOI:10.1145/287171
- 44. Seto, M., & Eke, A. (2005). The criminal histories and later offending of child pornography offenders. Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 17(2), 201–210. DOI:10.1007/s11194-005-4605-y
- **45.** Temporini, H. (2012). Child pornography and the internet. *Psychiatric Clinics*, *35*(4), 821–835. DOI:10.1016/j.psc.2012.08.004
- 46. Coaker, V., Hanson, D., & Jamieson, C. (2007). Consultation on possession of non-photographic visual depictions of child sexual abuse. National Offender Management Service. https://www.nio.gov.uk/non_photographic_visual_depictions_of_children_.pdf
- Christensen, L. S., Moritz, D., & Pearson, A. (2021). Psychological perspectives of virtual child sexual abuse material. Sexuality & Culture, 25(4), 1353-1365. DOI:10.1007/s12119-021-09820-1
- **48.** Laulik, S., Allam, J., & Sheridan, L. (2007). An investigation into maladaptive personality functioning in Internet sex offenders. *Psychology, Crime & Law, 13*(5), 523–535. DOI:10.1080/10683160701340577
- Mateo, G. (2008). The new face of child pornography: Digital imaging technology and the law. *University of Illinois Journal of Law, Technology and Policy, 1*, 175–198.
- 50. Insoll, T., Ovaska, A. K., Nurmi, J., Aaltonen, M., & Vaaranen-Valkonen, N. (2022). Risk factors for child sexual abuse material users contacting children online: Results of an anonymous multilingual survey on the Dark Web. Journal of Online Trust and Safety, 1(2). DOI:10.54501/jots.v1i2.29
- Steinberg, S. (2018). Changing faces: Morphed child pornography images and the first amendment. *Emory Law Journal*, 68, 909-938.
- 52. Middleton, D., Elliott, I. A., Mandeville-Norden, R., & Beech, A. R. (2006). An investigation into the applicability of the Ward and Siegert pathways model of child sexual abuse with Internet offenders. Psychology, Crime and Law, 12(6), 589–603. DOI:10.1080/10683160600558352
- 53. Wolak, J., & Finkelhor, D. (2013). Are crimes by online predators different from crimes by sex offenders who know youth in-person? *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 53(6), 736-741. DOI:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.06.010
- Canadian Centre for Child Protection. (2017). Survivors' survey. Full report 2017. https://content.c3p.ca/pdfs/C3P_SurvivorsSurveyFullReport2017.pdf

This project was supported by a grant awarded by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. Points of views or opinions in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

3