

Effects of Interviewer Gender on Children's Reports

A Bibliography

November 2018

Championing and Strengthening the Global Response to Child Abuse

nationalcac.org | 256-533-KIDS(5437) | 210 Pratt Avenue NE, Huntsville, AL 35801

©2018 National Children's Advocacy Center. All rights reserved.

© 2011, 2018. National Children's Advocacy Center. Al	l rights reserved.
Preferred citation: National Children's Advocacy Cente Interviewer Gender on Children's Reports: A Bibliography.	
This project was supported by a grant awarded by the Office and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs Justice. Points of view or opinions in this document are the do not necessarily represent the official position or property Department of Justice.	, U.S. Department of ose of the author and

Scope

This bibliography lists publications related to the effect of gender of the interviewer on

children's reports of child maltreatment.

Organization

Publications include articles, book chapters, reports, and research briefs and are

arranged in date descending order. Links are provided to full text publications when

possible. However, this collection may not be complete. More information can be

obtained in the Child Abuse Library Online.

Disclaimer

This bibliography was prepared by the Digital Information Librarians of the National

Children's Advocacy Center (NCAC) for the purpose of research and education, and for

the convenience of our readers. The NCAC is not responsible for the availability or content

of cited resources. The NCAC does not endorse, warrant or guarantee the information,

products, or services described or offered by the authors or organizations whose

publications are cited in this bibliography. The NCAC does not warrant or assume any

legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any

information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed in documents cited here. Points of

view presented in cited resources are those of the authors, and do not necessarily

coincide with those of the NCAC.

Effects of Interviewer Gender on Children's Reports

A Bibliography

Foster, I., Wyman, J., Tong, D., Colwell, K., & Talwar, V. (2018). <u>Does eyewitness and interviewer gender influence children's reports? An experimental analysis of eyewitness and interviewer gender on children's testimony</u>. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 26*(4), 499–519. DOI:10.1080/13218719.2018.1507844

This study examines how children's age, gender and interviewer gender affected children's testimony after witnessing a theft. Children (N = 127, age = 6–11 years) witnessed an experimenter (E1) find money, which he/she may/may not have taken. E1 then asked the children to falsely deny that the theft occurred, falsely accuse E1 of taking the money, or tell the truth when interviewed by a second experimenter. Falsely denying or falsely accusing influenced children's forthcomingness and quality of their testimony. When accusing, boys were significantly more willing than girls to disclose about the theft earlier and without being asked directly. When truthfully accusing, children gave lengthier testimony to same-gendered adults. When denying, children were significantly more willing to disclose the theft earlier to male interviewers than to females. As children aged, they were significantly less likely to lie, more likely to disclose earlier when accusing, and give lengthier and more consistent testimony.

Lamb, M. E., & Garretson, M. E. (2003). The effects of interviewer gender and child gender on the informativeness of alleged child sexual abuse victims in forensic interviews. *Law and Human Behavior*, *27*(2), 157-171. DOI:10.1023/A:1022595129689

Forensic investigators in 3 countries used either the NICHD structured interview protocol or local standard interview practices to interview 672 alleged victims who ranged in age from 4 to 14 yrs. Analyses of the interviews showed significant effects of gender on both the interviewers' behavior and the amount of information provided by children. Female interviewers asked boys more invitations, as well as absolutely and proportionally more suggestive questions, than they did girls, whereas male interviewers interviewed boys and

girls similarly. Children's responses varied depending on their gender and age, the gender of the interviewer, and the type of question asked. Girls of all ages provided more information in response to directive questions posed by female rather than male interviewers whereas boys did not respond differently to male and female interviewers. The oldest girls provided more information in response to option-posing questions posed by male interviewers. More information was provided by the younger children in response to suggestive prompts from interviewers of the opposite gender. The gender-of-interviewer effects were attenuated in protocol-guided interviews.

Fry, R. P., Rozewicz, L. M., & Crisp, A. H. (1996). Interviewing for sexual abuse: Reliability and effect of interviewer gender. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 20(8), 725-729. DOI:10.1016/0145-2134(96)00060-9

An interview to detect histories of sexual abuse was administered to consecutive attenders at a gynecology clinic on two occasions, on one occasion by a male interviewer and on the other by a female interviewer. Fifty-six subjects were assessed, and at least partial agreement was found in 70% between the two interviews. Approximately one-third of incidents were reported at only one of the interviews, with gender of interviewer making little apparent difference to this. Contrary to expectation, subjects appeared more forthcoming at the first interview. Reasons for this are discussed. Interviews for sexual abuse must be carried out in an appropriate context, and simple routine screening questions may not be appropriate. Selection of interviewers on the basis of gender alone may not be helpful.

Kaplan, M. S., Becker, J. V., & Tenke, C. E. (1991). Influence of abuse history on male adolescent self-reported comfort with interviewer gender. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 6(1), 3-11. DOI:10.1177/088626091006001001

Two hundred sixty-four inner-city adolescent males undergoing an evaluation in an outpatient clinic for sex offenders were questioned as to their preference and comfort in talking about sex with a male versus a female interviewer. Overall these adolescents were significantly more comfortable with a female interviewer, p < .05. Of the 135 nonabused subjects, 53% did not express a preference. However, those adolescents who themselves had been victims of sexual and/or physical abuse (49%) preferred a female interviewer, p < .02. Those individuals victimized by males showed the greatest preference for female interviewers, although those abused by females also preferred a female interviewer. Results indicate that self-disclosure about sexual material may be facilitated when interviewers of both genders are available.